Universal Journal of Public Health 12(1): 37-50, 2024 http://www.hrpub.org
DOI: 10.13189/ujph.2024.120104

An Investigation of the Effects of Chronic Stress on Attention in Parents of Children with Neurodevelopmental Disorders

Maharishi Ranganathan[1,*], Abin Jacob[1], Ashifa Kariveliparambil Mohammed Ashraf[2], Ganesh Kumar J[1], Madalaimuthu Anthony[1], Vijay M[1], Rupashree Brahma Kumari[3], Sadananda Reddy Annapally[4]

[1]Department of Psychology, CHRIST (Deemed to be University), India
[2]Department of Social Work, Faculty of Health Science, Istanbul Gelisim University, Turkey
[3]School of Psychology, Gangadhar Meher University, India
[4]Jindal School of Psychology and Counselling, Jindal Global University, India

Received July 31,2023; Revised December 7, 2023; Accepted December 21,2023

Cite This Paper in the Following Citation Styles

(a): *[1] Maharishi Ranganathan, Abin Jacob, Ashifa Kariveliparambil Mohammed Ashraf, Ganesh Kumar J, Madalaimuthu Anthony, Vijay M, Rupashree Brahma Kumari, Sadananda Reddy Annapally , "An Investigation of the Effects of Chronic Stress on Attention in Parents of Children with Neurodevelopmental Disorders," Universal Journal of Public Health, Vol. 12, No. 1, pp. 37-50, 2024. DOI: 10.13189/ujph.2024.120104.*

(b): *Maharishi Ranganathan, Abin Jacob, Ashifa Kariveliparambil Mohammed Ashraf, Ganesh Kumar J, Madalaimuthu Anthony, Vijay M, Rupashree Brahma Kumari, Sadananda Reddy Annapally (2024). An Investigation of the Effects of Chronic Stress on Attention in Parents of Children with Neurodevelopmental Disorders. Universal Journal of Public Health, 12(1), 37-50. DOI: 10.13189/ujph.2024.120104.*

Copyright©2024 by authors, all rights reserved. Authors agree that this article remains permanently open access under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 International License

Abstract Prolonged exposure to stress can cause impairments in various brain functions including cognition. Attention is one such important cognitive function that is required for our daily life and work-related activities. Chronic stress can have an impact on attention networks such as alerting, executive control, and orienting. The effects of naturalistic, persistent psychosocial stress on several attention networks were explored in this study. Parents of children with neurodevelopmental disorders (NDD) and parents of children with typical development (TD) were given an attention network test (ANT). Overall the stressed group (M= 564.623, SD= 75.484) was found to have a quicker reaction time in all the target and cue conditions whencompared to the non-stressed group (M= 588.874, SD= 101.575). Both groups had similar accuracy in all the conditions. When comparing the three attention network scores, no significantdifference was found in either group. However, in the stressed group, there was a significant beneficial relationship between the alerting and orienting networks (p=.006) and a high negative correlation between the alerting and executive control networks (p=.028). No significant correlation was found between the attention networks in the non-stressed group.

Keywords Chronic Stress, Attention Network, Neurodevelopmental Disorders, Typical Development

1. Introduction

Stress has been studied for many decades considering its application in various fields of science. These studies have helped us to better understand how psychological processes affect our bodily functions and health [1]. In simple terms, anything that disturbs the normal functioning of an organism can be called stress and the event or the agent that causes stress is known as a stressor [2]. As described by Sapolsky [3], “A stressor is anything in the outside world that knocks you out of homeostatic balance, and the stress response is what your body does to re-establish homeostasis”. According to the transactional model of stress, this response involves a

cognitive appraisal which consists of 'perceived threat/demand' (primary appraisal), Lazarus [4] 'perceived ability and available resources tocope', and the 'perceived importance of being able to cope' (both together as secondary appraisal) [5, 6].

1.1. Stress and Attention

At any moment in time, our brain can only process limited information and it has to choose what stimuli to attend to process it [7]. This "narrowing or focusing awareness selectively to a part of the sensory environment or a class of stimuli" Kolb [8] is defined as attention. It involves a complex interplay of various brain structures. According to attention network theory, three distinct systems are involved in attention processing, each representing a different component of attention, such as executive control (anterior system), alerting (subcortical system), and orienting (posterior system) [9, 10]. The alerting system is automatic and does not have any cognitive control. It is a primitive attention network involved in the general level of arousal and alerting. The system involves projections from the locus coeruleus which is situated in the brainstem to the forebrain areas like the prefrontal and posterior parietal cortex [8]. The orienting system is involved in prioritizing sensory information by selecting a location or modality. It includes dorsal systems including the frontal eye field (FEF), interparietal sulcus (IPS), and temporal-parietal junction (TPJ) [8]. The executive control network is in charge of starting, pausing, and monitoring tasks, as well as resolving conflicts and switching tasks. There are two networks associated with it namely the frontal-parietal network and the cingulo-opercular network.

Prolonged exposure to stress affects attention and cognition both acutely and chronically. In his review, Yaribeygi [11] described that "the acute effects are mainly caused by the beta-adrenergic effects, while the chronic effects are induced in a long-term manner by changes in gene expression mediated by steroids". Various literature shows that mild and acute stress facilitates attention [11, 12-14]. Attention appears more focused on central tasks and neglects any periphery information while exposed to acute stress. The works of Kohn [15] also support this property of attention known as tunneling. Callaway [16] illustrated this tunneling of attention in emotions like anxiety. However, if the periphery information is important for the ongoing task, tunneling often lowers the task performance [14].

Sapolsky [17] found that glucocorticoids have a biphasic effect on the brain. Further investigation of the variation in basal and stress hormone levels is due to the two types of glucocorticoid receptors, mineralocorticoid receptors (Type I) and glucocorticoid receptors (Type II), which have varying concentrations in different areas of the brain. In their study on lab rats, it was observed that the type II receptors are responsible for neuronal loss in areas like the hippocampus and amygdala. Furthermore, catecholamines are also found to affect these brain regions when exposed chronically [18]. The amygdala and other limbic regions have strong projections to cortical and subcortical regions that are associated with attention processing. As the amygdala is more acutely sensitive to stressors, repeated activation could cause deficits in attention processing oversome time and also affect regions like PFC. As illustrated by Andreotti [14], "due to chronic stress-related deficits in cognitive control, attention may be too easily engaged or become resistant to disengage resulting in an attentional bias to environmental threats". Individuals with post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) were directing their attention toward negative stimuli and showed impairments in attention allocation [19]. The work of McCoy [20] supports these findings. They claimed that frequent activation of the HPA axis causes 'wear and tear,' which suppresses PFC activity and facilitates an automatic emotion-based response of the limbic system in the attention process. The research by Öhman [21] suggests that fear-relevant stimuli are detected more automatically and in parallel. Thus as pointed out by Andreotti [14] "the presence of an acute stressor may trigger biases in attention which in turn contribute to the release of stress hormones that may then further affect attentional processing". According to this research, it may result in an inability to control limbic activation and GR desensitization [14]. However, these findings were criticized as further studies found that the subjects actively disengaged attention from negative stimuli [14, 22].

Evidence for stress-induced deficits in selective attention and attention switching is also found in various literature. Wolkowitz [23] in their study found that chronic stress impairs an individual's ability to differentiate irrelevant stimuli, indicating deficits in selective attention. Andreotti [14] suggested in her paper that prolonged exposure to stress could influence "the automatic elements of selective attention". There is growing evidence of attention deficit and other cognitive impairments related to hypercortisolism syndromes like Cushing's syndrome and patients with long-term therapeutic GC treatment [24, 47]. In the works of Qi [25] upregulation of the salience network is found to inhibit executive control network functions. Executive control is important for conflict management and is primarily mediated by PFC.

1.2. Stress of Parents of Children with NDD

Various life events can be severe sources of chronic stress in individuals leading to burnout [26]. One such source of chronic stress could be caring for family members with conditions such as NDD [27]. Various studies have found that these are significant sources of chronic stress and have negative effects on their health and cognitive functions [28-48].

Parents ofchildren with ASD experience severe chronic stress in life when compared to normal families [29]. According to the researchers, the majority of the stress is caused by ASD deficits and impairments such as social communication, restrictive/repetitive conduct, and so on. Also, other social factors were identified as stressors for the

parents. The duration of the care and the daily time spent with the individuals have a positive correlation to the intensity of the stress experienced [28]. Also, the severity of the disorder and symptoms are associated with stress levels. Other studies have identified that some parents also undergo spousal problems and marital dissatisfaction worsening their experiences [33, 34]. The parents of children with NDD experience low social support from others which increases the degree of negative outcomes [33]. Parents with an external locus of control have higher levels of depression and isolation, showing a difference in the impacts of stress.

Cognitive and health issues and an acceleration of aging are associated with chronic stress [28-35]. As they are exposed constantly to various stressors in their life like a child's characteristics, lack of social and family support, financial burden, and other sociodemographic factors, they undergo repeated activation of various stress responses. Such chronic stress conditions result in prolonged exposure to GC leading to the downregulation of GR which might cause dysfunctions of the brain networks important for memory, cognitive functions, etc. when compared to the normal population. Such deficits could lead to poor performance at work and in other important aspects of their life. Studying such real-world chronic stressors gives a better understanding of how they affect human performances in various personal and work settings due to deficits in various cognitive functions, memory, learning, etc. [47, 48].

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Participants

The present study included 65 participants both mothers of children with NDD and mothers of children with typical development (TD). Mothers of children with NDD were recruited from the outpatients (OP) at the Institute of Mental Health and Neurosciences (IMHANS) hospital who were willing to participate in the study. The non-stressed group included mothers of children with TD, recruited from the OP of the pediatrics department at the Kozhikode District Co-Operative Hospital (KDCH), who matched the age and socio-economic status of the stressed group.

2.2. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria of the Stressed Group

Mothers aged between 25 and 45 with children below 15 years of age who were diagnosedwith NDD and scored high on the stress scales were included in the study. Parents who are not active caregivers were not considered. All participants must have (1) no long-term therapeutic glucocorticoid treatments; (2) no history of neuropsychiatric disorders; (3) no hypercortisolism syndromes like Cushing's syndrome; (4) no history of neurological disorders (5) no use of medication known to affect cognition.

2.3. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria of the Non-stressed Group

Mothers of TD children who matched the characteristics (age group and socioeconomic status) of the stressed group were included in the study. Parents who are not active caregivers were not considered. All participants must have (1) no history of neurological disorders; (2) no history of neuropsychiatric disorders; (3) no hypercortisolism syndromes like Cushing's syndrome; (4) no long-term therapeutic glucocorticoid treatments; (5) no use of medication known to affect cognition.

2.4. Tools and Measures

2.4.1. Perceived Stress Scale (PSS-10)

This scale developed by Cohen [36] is widely used to measure perceived stress. PSS-10 is a 10-item self-reported scale that asks the thoughts and feelings of respondents last month and a 5-point Likert scale is used for the rating [37]. This scale originally had 14-item it was further shortened to a 10-item version. Various studies recommend PSS-10 as an effective tool to measure perceived stress [38, 37]. The scores are calculated by inverting the scores of the four positively mentioned items (items 4, 5, 7, and 8) and adding the totals. The maximum score obtained can be 40, with scores >27 considered as high perceived stress. PSS-10 can be completed in less than 10 minutes.

2.4.2. Parental Stress Scale (PSS)

Berry [39] developed PSS to assess feelings about their parental roles. It is an 18-item questionnaire representing positive factors and negative factors in parenting experiences that can be completed in 10 minutes. The PSS is a 5-point Likert scale with self-reporting that assists parents in responding to their feelings and connections with their children. This scale was calculated by reversing the scores of items 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 17 and adding the results. The possible scores range from 18 (low stress) to 90 (severe stress).

2.4.3. Attention Network Test (ANT)

Fan [40] developed this scale to measure three distinctive types of attention control networks namely executive control, orienting, and alerting. This original test was converted a computerized one [9]. It is now one of the most popular neuropsychological tests used to evaluate attention control [41]. Various studies have found ANT efficient and reliable in independently measuring the three specialized networks of the attention process [40-44]. The ANT test contains three types of target conditions namely normal, congruent, and incongruent as in Figure 1. In normal conditions, either an arrow would point to the left or right appears on the screen.

In some circumstances, the central arrow is flanked by two arrows on either side pointing in the same (congruent) or opposite (incongruent) direction. These targets are presented after one of the four cue conditions at random which helps in

calculating the effectiveness of the various attention networks. The cues are no cue, central cue, double cue, and spatial cue as represented in Figure 2. In some cases, the target appears directly without any cues (no cue) and in others, a brief cue stimulus before the target indicates the upcoming location of the arrow. The orienting signals appear above or below (spatial cue) the fixation point, indicating the exact location of the next object, whereas the non-orienting cue appears on the fixation point (center cue) or both above and below it at the same time (double cue). Both the cues indicate to the participant that the target is going to appear but only orienting cues provide the exact location of the target. The test is displayed on a white background with all the elements appearing in black color. The sequence of the ANT events is shown in Figure 3.

First, a fixation point appears in the middle of the screen for 400 ms - 1600 ms, where the participants will be asked to focus. A cue is then presented for 100 ms and then again a fixation point which is for 400 ms. Finally, the target appears where the participants are supposed to identify the direction of the center arrow by pressing the keys “E” for left and “I” for right as quickly and accurately as possible. If the participant does not respond the arrow will disappear in 1700 ms. The test consists of one practice block with 20 trials and six test blocks with 572 trials which together will take approximately 30 minutes to complete [45]. The reaction time and accuracy are recorded for each case, and each network's efficiency is assessed independently.




Figure 1. ANT Target Conditions




Figure 2. ANT Cue Conditions




Figure 3. Sequence of Events in ANT

2.5. Procedure

This study was conducted without the use of any stimulants (such as smoking or drinking coffee). The researcher was briefed about the research and received informed consent. The ethical committee (Institutional Research Board) of CHRIST (Deemed to be University) approved the research work. All the participants were individually screened by a psychiatrist for any existing mental conditions or disabilities. After the screening, the participants were given a perceived stress scale and a parental stress scale using the pen and paper method. After the completion of the tests, the scores of both tests were calculated by the researcher. Parents of children with NDD who received high scores on PSS-10 and PSS were recruited to the stressed group. Similarly, parents of children with TD who scored less on PSS-10 and PSS were recruited to the non-stressed group. The participants were then asked to perform ANT under the supervision of a researcher on a computer running a Windows operating system using the software Millisecond Inquisit version 6.

3. Results of Self-Reports and Demographic Information

All the subjects who participated in the study were mothers as we considered only the primary caregivers of the children for both the groups. Basic demographic information of the final sample and the results obtained from the self-report stress questionnaires, PSS, and PSS-10 are shown in Table 1. There was a distinguishable difference in stress levels in both the questionnaires among parents of children with NDD (stressed group) and parents of children with TD (non-stressed group). The stressed group reported more stress in taking care of the children which is also affecting their overall life. The stressed group (M= 22.2, SD= 6.1) scored higher in stress on PSS-10 when compared to the non-stress group (M= 11.1, SD= 3.9). A huge difference in stress levels can also be seen in the parental stress scale, where the stressed group (M= 44.1, SD= 9.6) scored higher than the non-stressed group (M= 20.1, SD= 2.1). Further analysis of the demographic data, such as the child's condition, years of exposure to stress, partner's caregiving level, participant's education level, and socio-economic status were not at this stage of the evaluation, though such information was collected from the participants.

3.1. Accuracy and Reaction Time

Based on the descriptive analysis results the accuracy and reaction time of both the stressed and non-stressed groups are given in Table 2. The stressed group had a shorter reaction time (M= 564.623, SD= 75.484) when compared to the non-stressed group (M= 588.874, SD= 101.575). However, the stressed group (M= 0.989, SD= 0.008) and the non-stressed group (M= 0.984, SD= 0.014) had similar overall accuracy. Table 3 presented the two groups (Stressed and non-stressed) accuracy and reaction time with two conditions (congruent and incongruent) for four cue conditions (no cue, centre cue, double cue, and spatial). The stressed group had a quicker reaction time in all the cue conditions for both the target conditions when compared to the non-stressed group. The comparison between the stressed group and the non-stressed group for the different target and cue conditions is represented in Figure 4 and Figure 5.

Table 1. Results of self-reports and Demographic Information

Measures	Participants (n = 65)	
	Stressed group (n = 35; Mean ± SD)	Non-stress group (n = 30; Mean ± SD)
Age range, years	25-44	26-45
PSS-10	22.2 (6.1)	11.1 (3.9)
Parental Stress Scale	44.1 (9.6)	20.1 (2.1)
Age, years	33.9 (5.4)	32.4 (6.3)

Table 2. Descriptive Analysis of the Mean RT and Accuracy

	Subject	N	Mean	SD
Reaction Time	Stressed	35	564.623	75.484
	Non-stressed	30	588.874	101.575
Accuracy	Stressed	35	0.989	0.008
	Non-stressed	30	0.984	0.014

Table 3. Accuracy and Reaction Time Stressed and Non-stressed Parents in Cues and Conditions

Target		No Cue	Centre Cue	Double Cue	Spatial Cue
Reaction Time (Mean ± SD)					
Stressed Congruent		599 (81.7)	539 (72.3)	532 (81.8)	523 (71.4)
Incongruent		657 (84.6)	624 (93.5)	624 (87.3)	597 (83.2)
Non-stressed Congruent		619 (116.3)	565 (113.1)	552 (102.3)	546 (103.1)
Incongruent		698 (122.4)	647 (112.9)	647 (104.4)	612 (107.4)
Accuracy (Mean ± SD)					
Stressed	Congruent	0.996 (.015)	0.999 (.007)	0.995 (.013)	0.996 (.011)
	Incongruent	0.986 (.022)	0.984 (.027)	0.982 (.023)	0.981 (.032)
Non-stressed	Congruent	0.990 (.021)	0.983 (.030)	0.996 (.017)	0.996 (.017)
	Incongruent	0.980 (.030)	0.969 (.043)	0.975 (.037)	0.985 (.023)




Figure 4. Mean Reaction Time of Congruent Trials for Stressed and Non-stressed Parents in Each Cue Condition




Figure 5. Mean Reaction Time of Incongruent Trials for Stressed and Non-stressed Parents in Each Cue Condition

3.2. Attention Networks

In Table 4 descriptive statistics are presented with normality, mean, and standard deviation for the efficiency of the attention networks for the stressed and non-stressed group. The stressed group scored lower scores for all three networks when compared to the non-stressed group. Further analysis of the data was done for each attention network of the stressed and non-stressed groups using the box plots. In Figure 6, the alerting network for the stressed group had an Mdn of 48.3 (IQR= 42.7) and for the non-stressed group, the Mdn was 57.1 (IQR= 44). In Figure 7, the orienting network for the stressed group had a Mdn of 20.7 (IQR= 37.2) and for the non-stressed group, the Mdn was 25.7 (IQR= 37.5). For the executive control network, as represented in Figure 8, the median was almost the same with the stressed group having an Mdn of 76.5 (IQR= 41.2) and the non-stressed group having an Mdn of 78.7 (IQR= 49.7).

Based on the Shapiro-Wilk tests, the data was normally distributed for the alerting network for the stressed group (W= .968, p > .39) and the non-stressed group (W= .982, p > .874) are normally distributed. Similarly, the data for the orienting network for the stressed group (W= .932, p > .033) and the non-stressed group (W= .958, p > .279) are normally distributed. The data for the executive control network for the stressed group (W= .97, p > .446) and the non-stressed group (W= .967, p > .472) are also normally distributed. The Q-Qplots for each alerting network are also represented in Figure 9 (alerting network), Figure 10 (orienting network), and Figure 11 (executive control network).

Table 4. Descriptive Analysis of the Attention Networks

					Shapiro-Wilk Test	
Attention Networks	**Subject**	**N**	**Mean**	**SD**	**W**	**P**
AN	Stressed	35	49.8	31.0	0.968	0.390
	Non-stressed	30	58.4	35.5	0.982	0.874
ON	Stressed	35	21.4	33.7	0.932	0.033
	Non-stressed	30	27.0	26.4	0.958	0.279
EC	Stressed	35	77.2	32.9	0.970	0.446
	Non-stressed	30	80.3	29.5	0.967	0.472




Figure 6. Box Plots for Alerting Network for the Stressed and the Non-stressed groups




Figure 7. Box Plots for Orienting Network for the Stressed and the Non-stressed groups




Figure 8. Box Plots for Executive Control Network for the Stressed and the Non-stressed groups




Figure 9. Q-Q Plots for Alerting Network for Stressed and Non-stressed Groups




Figure 10. Q-Q Plots for Orienting Network for the Stressed and the Non-stressed groups




Figure 11. Q-Q Plots for Executive Control Network for the Stressed and the Non-stressed groups

An independent-sample t-test was performed to find the significant difference between stressed and non-stressed groups based on the attention network, executive control network, and orienting network. The results of the test are represented in Table 5. No significant difference was found between stress group (M= 49.8, SD= 31.0) and non-stressed group (M= 58.4, SD= 35.5) for thealerting network; t(63)= -1.055, p= .295. Similarly, there was no significant difference found between the stress group (M= 21.4, SD= 33.7) and non-stressed group (M= 27.0, SD= 26.4) forthe orienting network; t(63)= -.739, p= .463. No significant difference was also found between the stressed group (M= 77.2, SD= 32.9) and non-stressed group (M= 80.3, SD= 29.5) for the executive control network; t(63)= -.386, p= .701. These results suggest that there is no significant effect of stress on the efficiency of the various attention networks of the parents of children with NDD when compared to the parents of children with TD.

Further, a Pearson's correlation coefficient was calculated to find the relationship between the alerting, executive control, and orienting attention networks for each group. Theresults of the correlation matrix for the stress group are represented in Table 6 and for the non-stressed group in Table 7.

A strong positive correlation was found for the stressed group between the alerting network and the orienting network, r= .45, N= 35; and the relationship was found to be significant (p= .006). However, the alerting network and orienting network were not found to be significantly correlated for the non-stressed group; r= .19, p= .299. Similarly, a strong negative correlation was found between the alerting network and the executive control network for the stressed group, r= -.37, N=35; and the relationship was found to be significant (p= .028). No such correlation was found for the non-stressed group, r= .03, p= .875. A negative correlation was found between the orienting network and the executive control network for the stressed group, r= -.2, N= 35; but the relationship was not significant (p= .245). For the non-stressed group, this relationship was positively correlated, r= .19, N= 30; however, the relationship was not significant (p= .313). These results suggest that the alerting network has associations with the orienting network and executive control network in the stressed group.

Table 5. Independent Samples T-Test of the Attention Networks

	Statistic		Df	P		Effect Size
AN	Student's t	-1.055	63.0	0.295	Cohen's d	-0.2625
ON	Student's t	-0.739	63.0	0.463	Cohen's d	-0.1839
EC	Student's t	-0.386	63.0	0.701	Cohen's d	-0.0960

Table 6. Correlation Matrix of the Attention Networks for the Stressed Group

		AN	ON	EC
AN	Pearson's r	-		
	p-value	-		
ON	Pearson's r	0.458**	-	
	p-value	0.006	-	
EC	Pearson's r	-0.371*	-0.202	-
	p-value	0.028	0.245	-

Note. * p < .05, ** p < .01, *** p < .001

Table 7. Correlation Matrix of the Attention Networks for Non-stressed Group

		AN	ON	EC
AN	Pearson's r	-		
	p-value	-		
ON	Pearson's r	0.196	-	
	p-value	0.299	-	
EC	Pearson's r	0.030	0.191	-
	p-value	0.875	0.313	-

Note. * p < .05, ** p < .01, *** p < .001

4. Discussion

This study is a relatively early effort to investigate the chronic psychological stress on executive control, alerting, and orienting attention networks. An Attention Network Test was used to determine the efficiency of the attention networks in the parents of children with NDD (stressed group) and parents of children with TD (non-stressed group). We considered only the primary caregivers of the children for both groups and hence all the subjects who participated in the study were mothers. PSS-10 and Parental Stress Scales were used to determine the stress levels of the parents. Higher stress was found in the parents of children with NDD on both the stress scales when compared to the non-stressed group. This study pointed out that a higher level of stress in these parents is due to the increased demands of caregiving for children with NDD. This results in burnout due to the severe source of chronic stress [27].

As can be seen from the behavioral results, the stressed group has a slightly faster reaction time to the target stimuli for all the cue conditions compared to the non-stressed group. This was contrary to the findings of Liu [45], in his study, he conducted ANT on healthy undergraduate students undergoing a postgraduate entrance examination and found that the stressed group had a slower reaction time and accuracy compared to the controlled group. It is also to be noted that both the groups in the present study had comparable accuracy. The faster reaction time of the mothers of children with NDD in the stressed group did not affect their accuracy. The faster reaction time observed in the mothers of the stressed group could be an outcome of their constant need for increased vigilance and alertness required to take care of a child with NDD. How naturalistic and prolonged psychological stressors like caregiving for children with NDD are affecting the brain networks for cognitive functions like attention is notvery well explored yet.

In this study, a statistically significant difference could not be found between the stressed and non-stressed groups in any of the attention networks. Both the groups had almost comparable scores for the three attention networks. This suggests that there is no significant effect of stress on the efficiency of the various attention networks of the parents of children with NDD when compared to the parents of children with TD. Also, when comparing the strategies of attending to the stimuli, there wasn't any difference identified between the groups. Both the groups benefited from a double cue condition when compared to the no cue condition. This indicates that when an alert stimulus is given the participants were able to perform better at the task. No significant difference was found in the efficiency of the alerting network. When an orienting stimulus was given to the stressed group and the non-stressed group, it was found that both groups were performing better when compared to the centre cue. The efficiency of the orienting attention network is also similar for both groups. When comparing the congruent and incongruent trials, both groups had a quicker reaction time for the congruent trials in all the cue conditions. This means that both groups had similar conflict resolution and executive control. The data for the stressed and non-stressed groups had larger SD, which may be because of the wider age group selected for the study. The severity of the child's condition, the duration of the care, and the daily time spent with the child have a positive correlation to the intensity of the stress experienced [28]. In the present study, the stressed group participants were parents of children suffering from conditions of different levels of severity. Parents of children with ASD (n= 11), ADHD (n= 8), specific learning disabilities (n= 6), and specific language impairment (n= 10) with a duration of the condition ranging from 1-5 years were in the stressed group. This variation in the level of stress experienced and the length of the stressor could have altered the findings of this investigation. The data will be further analyzed later with the above characteristics in mind.

The major findings of this study are the association between the alerting and orienting networks and the alerting and executive control networks in the stressed group. A strong positive correlation was found between the alerting network and the orienting network (p= .006) in the stressed group. Also, the alerting network and executive control network were found to be negatively correlated in the stressed group (p= .028). These two associations between the networks were not observed in the non-stressed group. This finding is consistent with the previous work of [46]. The alerting system is automatic and does not have any cognitive control. It is a primitive attention network involved in the general level of arousal and alerting. The system involves projections from the locus coeruleus which is situated in the brainstem to the forebrain areas like the prefrontal and posterior parietal cortex [8]. Whereas the orienting system is involved in prioritizing sensory information by selecting a location or modality. It includes dorsal systems including the frontal eye field (FEF), interparietal sulcus (IPS), and temporal-parietal junction (TPJ) [8]. The executive control network is responsible for the starting, stopping, and monitoring of a task, resolving conflicts, and task switching. Frontal-parietal network and the cingulo-opercular network are two networks associated with the executive control network of attention. Repeated activation of the HPA axis has its effects on the primitive attention network involved in arousal and alerting. This activation of the alertness helps the orienting network to quickly orient to the salient stimulus [46]. This could be the explanation for the positive correlation observed between the alerting network and the orienting network in the stressed group. Furthermore,the prolonged activation of the HPA axis has been shown to cause 'wear and tear' which inhibitsthe activities of PFC and mediates an automatic emotion-based response of the limbic system in the attention process [20]. Thus, the activation of the alerting network could prevent the

functioning of the executive control network in the attention process, resulting in a more bottom-up approach [46]. This explains the negative correlation found between the alerting network and the executive control network in the stressed group. No association was found between the orienting network and executive control networks however in the stressed group.

5. Conclusions

The existing research reveals the effects of acute and short-term stressors on various cognitive functions including attention. However, how naturalistic, chronic psychological stressors affect attention is not discussed much. In this study, the parental stress of caregiving for children with NDD is considered to explore its effects on the attention networks of the brain. ANT test was performed on parents of children with NDD and parents of children with TD and the scores for the executive control network, alerting network, and orienting network were compared. Contrary to the literature, it was found that the stressed group had a quicker reaction time when compared to the non-stress group, while the accuracy remained the same for both groups. As the subjects of the study were the primary caregivers of the children with NDD, this quicker reaction time may be due to the outcome of their constant need for increased vigilance and alertness required to take care of a child with NDD. However, while comparing the attention networks no significant differences were found between both groups. Both groups were able to utilize the alerting and orienting cues effectively and perform better than without helping cues. Also, the conflict resolution in performing the congruent and incongruent trials was similar for both groups. In summary, no differences were found between the stressed group and the non-stressed group in the alerting, orienting, and executive control networks of attention. According to the theory, the three attention networks are distinctive and do not affect each other [40,10]. While this is true for the non-stressed group, the present study has found a significant correlation between the attention networks in the stressed group. The alerting and orienting network had a strong positive correlation and the alerting and executive control network had a strong negative correlation in the stressed group. Alerting being associated with the primitive brain regions responsible for arousal, repeated activation of these networks could have a significant impact on attention control and strategies of attention in the stressed group.

In summary, the activation of the alerting network helps the orienting network to quickly orient to the salient stimulus but inhibits the top-down control of the executive function in participating in the attention process. Future research should be focused on including neuroimaging techniques to explore how these networks modulate another in chronically stressed people. This will also help to understand the long-term effects of prolonged exposure to stress and the dysfunctions associated with neural networks of attention.

Acknowledgments

The authors would also like to thank the Department of Psychology, CHRIST (Deemed to be University), Institute of Mental Health and Neurosciences (IMHANS) hospital, and Kozhikode District Co-Operative Hospital (KDCH), for supporting this research.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there is no competing interest associated with this research.

Funding

This research received no funding.

REFERENCES

[1] Contrada R, Baum A, “Biology”, in The handbook of stress science: Biology, psychology, and health, Springer Publishing Company, 2010, pp.1-11.

[2] Stokes AF, Kite K, “On grasping a nettle and becoming emotional”, in Stress, workload, and fatigue, CRC Press, 2000, pp. 107-132.

[3] Sapolsky RM. “The acclaimed guide to stress, stress-related diseases, and coping”, in why zebras don't get ulcers, 3rd ed, Holt paperbacks, 2004, pp. 120-144.

[4] Lazarus, R.S, ‘’Psychological Stress and the Coping Process”, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.

[5] McGrath JE, “Stress and behavior in organizations”, in Handbook of Industrial and organizational psychology, Rand McNally College Pub, 1976, pp. 1351-1396.

[6] Lazarus RS, Folkman S, “The stress concept in life sciences”, in Stress, appraisal, and coping. Springer publishing company, 1984, pp. 1-21.

[7] Squire L, Berg D, Bloom FE, Du Lac S, Ghosh A, Spitzer NC, “Fundamental Neuroscience”, in Fundamental Neuroscience, Academic press, 2012, pp.1-20.

[8] Kolb B, Whishaw IQ, “Attention”, in Fundamentals of human neuropsychology, Macmillan, 2009, pp. 120-130.

[9] Posner MI. “Attention as a cognitive and neural system”, Current directions in psychological science, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 11-14, 1992. https://www.jstor.org/stable/20182116

[10] Posner MI, Dehaene S, “Attentional networks”, Trends in neurosciences vol. 17, no. 9, pp. 75-79, 1994. DOI: 10.1016/0166-2236(94)90078-7

[11] Yaribeygi H, Panahi Y, Sahraei H, Johnston TP, Sahebkar A, "The impact of stress on body function: A review", EXCLI journal, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 1057–1072, 2017. DOI: 10.17179/excli2017-480

[12] Staal MA, "Stress, cognition, and human performance: A literature review and conceptual framework", NASA, https://ntrs.nasa.gov/citations/20060017835 (accessed Feb. 2, 2022).

[13] Jameison K, Dinan TG, "Glucocorticoids and cognitive function: from physiology to pathophysiology", Human Psychopharmacology: Clinical and Experimental, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 293-302, 2001. DOI: 10.1002/hup.304

[14] Andreotti C, "Effects of acute and chronic stress on attention and psychobiological stress reactivity in women", Vanderbilt University, https://etd.library.vanderbilt.edu/etd-06042012-185510 (accessed Jan. 1, 2013).

[15] Kohn H, "The effect of variations of intensity of experimentally induced stress situations upon certain aspects of perception and performance," The Journal of Genetic Psychology, vol. 85, no. 2, pp. 289-304, 1954, DOI: 10.1080/00221325.1954.10532884

[16] Callaway E, Dembo D, "Narrowed attention: A psychological phenomenon that accompanies a certain physiological change", AMA Archives of Neurology & Psychiatry, vol. 79, no. 1, pp. 74-90, 1958. DOI: 10.1001/archneurpsyc.1958.02340010092008

[17] Sapolsky RM, Meaney MJ, McEwen BS, "The development of the glucocorticoid receptor system in the rat limbic brain. III. Negative-feedback regulation", Developmental Brain Research. Vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 169-73, 1985. DOI: 10.1016/0165-3806(85)90261-5

[18] McEwen BS, Sapolsky RM, "Stress and cognitive function", Current opinion in neurobiology, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 205-216, 1995. DOI: 10.1016/0959-4388(95)80028-x

[19] Adams ZW, Meinzer M, Mandel H, Voltin J, Caughron B, Sallee FR, Hamner M, Wang Z, "Cue-dependent inhibition in posttraumatic stress disorder and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder" Journal of Anxiety Disorders, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 1-6, 2017. DOI: 10.1016/j.janxdis.2017.08.003

[20] McCoy DC, Raver CC, Sharkey P, "Children's cognitive performance and selective attention following recent community violence" Journal of health and social behavior, vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 19-36, 2015. DOI: 10.1177/0022146514567576

[21] Öhman A, Flykt A, Esteves F, "Emotion drives attention: detecting the snake in the grass", Journal of experimental psychology: general, vol. 130. No. 3, pp. 466-478, 2001. DOI.org/10.1037/0096-3445.130.3.466

[22] Ellenbogen MA, Schwartzman AE, Stewart J, Walker CD, "Stress and selective attention: The interplay of mood, cortisol levels, and emotional information processing", Psychophysiology, vol. 39, no. 6, pp. 723-732, 2002. DOI: 10.1111/1469-8986.3960723

[23] Wolkowitz OM, Reus VI, Weingartner H, Thompson K, Breier A, Doran A, Rubinow D, Pickar D, "Cognitive effects of corticosteroids", The American Journal of Psychiatry, vol. 147, no. 10, pp. 1297-1303, 1990. DOI: 10.1176/ajp.147.10.1297

[24] McEwen BS, Sapolsky RM, "Stress and cognitive function", Current opinion in neurobiology, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 205-216, 1995. DOI: 10.1016/0959-4388(95)80028-x

[25] Qi M, Gao H, "Acute psychological stress promotes general alertness and attentional control processes: An ERP study", Psychophysiology, vol. 57, no. 4, pp. 13521, 2020. DOI: 10.1111/psyp.13521

[26] Öhman L, Nordin S, Bergdahl J, Birgander LS, Neely AS, "Cognitive function in outpatients with perceived chronic stress", Scandinavian journal of work, environment & health, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 223-232, 2007. DOI: 10.5271/sjweh.1131

[27] Pinquart M, "Parenting stress in caregivers of children with chronic physical condition—A meta‐analysis", Stress and Health, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 197-207, 2018. DOI: 10.1002/smi.2780

[28] Romero-Mart ńez Á, Hidalgo-Moreno G, Moya-Albiol L, "Neuropsychological consequences of chronic stress: the case of informal caregivers", Aging & mental health, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 259-71, 2020. DOI: 10.1080/13607863.2018.1537360

[29] Hayes SA, Watson SL, "The impact of parenting stress: A meta-analysis of studies comparing the experience of parenting stress in parents of children with and without autism spectrum disorder", Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorder, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 629-42, 2012. DOI: 10.1007/s10803-012-1604-y

[30] Dunn ME, Burbine T, Bowers CA, Tantleff-Dunn S, "Moderators of stress in parents of children with autism", Community mental health journal, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 39-52, 2001. DOI: 10.1023/a:1026592305436

[31] Bonis S, "Stress and parents of children with autism: A review of the literature", Issues in mental health nursing, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 153-63, 2016. DOI: 10.3109/01612840.2015.1116030

[32] Nadeem M, Choudhary FR, Parveen A, Javaid F, "Parental Stress among Parents of Children with and without Disabilities", Pakistan Journal of Social Sciences, vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 1281-1289, 2016.

[33] Duan H, Yuan Y, Yang C, Zhang L, Zhang K, Wu J, "Anticipatory processes under academic stress: An ERP study", Brain and cognition, vol. 94, pp. 60-67, 2015. DOI: 10.1016/j.bandc.2015.01.002

[34] DeMyer MK, "Parents and children in autism", V. H. Winston & Sons, 1979.

[35] Maestripieri D, Hoffman CL, "Chronic stress, allostatic load, and aging in nonhuman primates", Development and Psychopathology, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 1187-95, 2011. DOI: 10.1017/S0954579411000551

[36] Cohen S, Kamarck T, "Mermelstein R. Perceived stress scale. Measuring stress: A guide for health and social scientists", vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 1-2, 1994.

[37] Lee EH, "Review of the psychometric evidence of the perceived stress scale", Asian nursing research, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 121-127, 2012. DOI: 10.1016/j.anr.2012.08.004

[38] Roberti JW, Harrington LN, Storch EA, "Further psychometric support for the 10‐item version of the

perceived stress scale", Journal of College Counseling, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 135-47, 2006.

[39] Berry JO, Jones WH, "The parental stress scale: Initial psychometric evidence", Journal of social and personal relationships, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 463-72, 1995. DOI: 10.1177/0265407595123

[40] Fan J, McCandliss BD, Sommer T, Raz A, Posner MI, "Testing the efficiency and independence of attentional networks", Journal of cognitive Neuroscience, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 340-47, 2002. DOI: 10.1162/089892902317361886

[41] MacLeod JW, Lawrence MA, McConnell MM, Eskes GA, Klein RM, Shore DI, "Appraising the ANT: Psychometric and theoretical considerations of the Attention Network Test", Neuropsychology, vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 637-51, 2010. DOI: 10.1037/a0019803

[42] Ishigami Y, Klein RM, "Repeated measurement of the components of attention using two versions of the Attention Network Test (ANT): Stability, isolability, robustness, and reliability" Journal of Neuroscience Methods, vol. 190, no. 1, pp. 117-28, 2010. DOI: 10.1016/j.jneumeth.2010.04.019

[43] Urbanek C, Weinges-Evers N, Bellmann-Strobl J, Bock M, Dörr J, Hahn E, Neuhaus AH, Opgen-Rhein C, Ta TM, Herges K, Pfueller CF, "Attention Network Test reveals alerting network dysfunction in multiple sclerosis", Multiple Sclerosis Journal, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 93-99, 2010. DOI: 10.1177/1352458509350308

[44] Fuentes LJ, Campoy G, "The time course of alerting effect over orienting in the attention network test", Experimental Brain Research, vol. 185, no. 0, pp. 667-72, 2008. DOI: 10.1007/s00221-007-1193-8

[45] Liu Q, Liu Y, Leng X, Han J, Xia F, Chen H, "Impact of chronic stress on attention control: Evidence from behavioral and event-related potential analyses", Neuroscience bulletin, vol. 36, no. 3, pp. 1395-410, 2020. DOI: 10.1007/s12264-020-00549-9

[46] Callejas A, Lupianez J, Funes MJ, Tudela P, "Modulations among the alerting, orienting and executive control networks", Experimental brain research, vol. 167, no. 1, pp. 27-37, 2005. DOI: 10.1007/s00221-005-2365-z

[47] Bakar NA, Alsmadi MS, Sulaiman IM, "Effect of Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for Psychosis (CBTP) on Mothers of Youngsters with Cerebral Palsy", Universal Journal of Public Health, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 113-9, 2021. DOI: 10.13189/ujph.2021.090302.

[48] Rahmi U, Goenawan H, Sylviana N, Andriyani S, Putri ST, Fitriana LA, "Effects of Physical Exercise (Type, Intensity, Duration) on BDNF and Cognitive Functions in the Hippocampus of Adults and Elderly: A Literature Review", Universal Journal of Public Health, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 473-81, 2022. DOI: 10.13189/ujph.2022.100505